

Letters To The Editor

Weadon Outdoes Himself Again

Well, Patrick Weadon, the titular head of the Severna Park communist movement, out does himself once again. He could not help but wear his emotions on his sleeve apparently before the political massacre delivered by conservatives to the states and the federal government on November 2.

He did this by inferring members of the "Tea Party" movement longed for the days that "any white American who wanted a slave could have one." That statement alone should disqualify him from writing more articles for this paper. We'll see...

Sorry Mr. Weadon, the majority of Americans do not agree with your warped politics as clearly demonstrated on November 2. The people delivered a strong rebuke against your brand of thinking. They might be slow, but they are not stupid.

How can a person be so generous with other people's money – he implies no tax is enough tax. For Mr. Weadon to expect a free society, such as what we have in the U.S., to sit back and just let the government redistribute our wealth without our consent... that has the makings of an ugly outcome.

I'm sure everyone has heard this gem from Margaret Thatcher: "The trouble

with socialism is you eventually run out of other people's money." That one has already come true.

Another oldie but a goody is "Give me liberty or give me death" and I take those words to heart.

Tea anyone?

Jeff Dearborn
Severna Park, MD

Historical Facts

Cherry-picked statistics and unfettered innuendo are worse than useless to any honest discussion. I was frustrated to find nothing but such partisan propaganda in the *Voice's* December 16 article, "New Media Revolution," by Scott Davis. In particular, the article's clumsy implication of association between the Democratic Party and terrorist plots in Yemen should be contemptible to any American who believes in the integrity of our electoral system and the competition of ideas it promotes, regardless of political party.

To be clear, I do not affiliate with the Democrats myself, nor with the Republicans. I dislike blind partisanship, because too often it supersedes historical facts and rational thought. I hope Mr. Davis' next article will offer us a more considerate insight into his political views, instead of loosely associated half-truths intended only to tarnish the appearance of his opposing party. I do believe it is healthy for us to take sides